Introduced by Senator O'Connell
(Principal coauthor: Senator Chesbro)
(Principal coauthor: Assembly Member Jackson)
(Coauthors: Senators Alpert, Bowen, Burton, Karnette, Kuehl,
McPherson, Sher, and Speier)

June 7, 2001

Senate Joint Resolution No. 15—Relative to offshore oil drilling.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SJR 15, as amended, O'Connell. Offshore oil drilling.

This measure would memorialize the President and Congress of the United States to negotiate a permanent settlement with the leaseholders of the 36 federal oil leases remaining off the coast of California, which would prohibit the development of the leases on the basis that the impacts of developing those leases are not acceptable, and to continue the moratorium on new oil leasing in the Outer Continental Shelf and to terminate the 36 federal leases off the coast of California.

Fiscal committee: no.

- 1 WHEREAS, Efforts to protect the California coastline from oil
- 2 development began as early as January 1929, with the passage of
- 3 legislation that established an urgency moratorium prohibiting the
- 4 Surveyor-General from granting any lease or prospecting permit
- 5 covering any tidelands until September of that year, when the
- 6 urgency moratorium was finalized; that moratorium stayed in
- 7 effect until the passage of the State Lands Act of 1938; and

SJR 15 —2—

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28 29

30 31

32 33

35

36 37

WHEREAS, The California State Legislature renewed its 1 long-standing bipartisan opposition to offshore oil and gas development in 1955, with the passage of legislation that prohibited oil and gas leasing in the state waters off parts of Los 5 Angeles, Santa Barbara, and San Luis Obispo Counties, and of legislation in 1963 that added the Counties of Monterey, Santa 6 Cruz, Humboldt, and Mendocino to that prohibition; and

WHEREAS, In 1981 the federal government issued 40 oil leases in the Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) for a record \$81,200,000,000; and

WHEREAS, Following the infamous 1969 oil spill that resulted in the spillage of 3,200,000 gallons of crude oil, fouling Santa Barbara County's ocean beaches, Californians became even more wary about offshore oil drilling, continuing with the passage of additional oil and gas leasing prohibitions in 1969, 1970, and 1971; and

WHEREAS, In 1994, the California Coastal Sanctuary Act of 1994 (Chapter 3.4 (commencing with Section 6240) of Part 1 of Division 6 of the Public Resources Code), became law, creating a comprehensive statewide coastal sanctuary that prohibits future oil and gas leasing in state waters, from Mexico to the Oregon border, in perpetuity, and adding leases to the sanctuary as they are quitclaimed to the state; and

WHEREAS, In 1995, a bipartisan California congressional delegation opposed further leasing in the outer Continental Shelf (OCS) off California in response to the proposed federal 5-year leasing program from 1997 to 2002; and

WHEREAS, On November 15, 1999, the United States Department of the Interior Mineral Management Service granted suspensions of production, (SOP) for the undeveloped OCS leases. Those SOPs are the next sequential step leading to oil production, and they provide schedules for development and oil drilling; and WHEREAS, On June 26, 2000, the United States Supreme Court in Mobil Oil Exploration and Producing Southeast, Inc. v. United

34 States (2000) 147 L. Ed. 2d 528 held that oil companies were entitled to restitution of moneys the companies paid to the federal government for oil exploration and development leases, finding

that that the federal government has committed a breach of contract by refusing to approve the companies oil exploration 39

40 plans; and -3 — SJR 15

WHEREAS, In 1999, the Assembly adopted House Resolution 20, which urged the Congress of the United States to pass the Coastal States Protection Act (S. 197) and to cease oil leasing in federal waters when a coastal state, such as California, has declared a moratorium on oil development in adjacent state waters; and

 WHEREAS, Most recently, California, in its January 2001 comments on the proposed federal 5-year leasing program for 2002–2007, expressed support for the continued prohibition of leasing within all of the OCS planning areas off California because the impacts from these operations would be unacceptable; and

WHEREAS, In addition, the protection of California's spectacular 1,100-mile coastline is of utmost importance to a number of our state's coastal and ocean-dependent industries, including tourism and commercial fishing, which contributed over fifty billion dollars (\$50,000,000,000) to California's economy in 1999; and

WHEREAS, California's diverse coastline, especially the waters off Point Concepcion, where the "mixing" of northern and southern California characteristics occurs, boasts of the highest levels of biodiversity in the nation; and

WHEREAS, California's ocean waters are also home to two important sanctuaries, the Monterey Bay National Marine Sanctuary and the Channel Islands National Marine Sanctuary which, by definition, are areas of special conservation, recreational, ecological, historical, cultural, archeological, scientific, education, and esthetic qualities and, as such, are particularly sensitive to the impacts of oil development; and

WHEREAS, Additional offshore oil leasing and production would degrade the quality of our air and water, and adversely impact our marine resources, including severe impacts from seismic surveys on marine mammals, which could involve threatened and endangered species such as blue and humpback whales; and

WHEREAS, Offshore oil development poses a serious risk of oil spills, especially with the introduction of deepwater drilling technologies and floating oil storage and processing vessels, thereby threatening marine ecosystems, and could have devastating effects on the southern sea otter, listed as a threatened

SJR 15 — 4—

species since 1997, as well as onshore wildlife, such as birds, and their habitats in the ocean, in estuaries, and on beaches; and

WHEREAS, Offshore oil development also leads to the industrialization of the shoreline, creating land use conflicts, visually degrading coastal areas and posing potentially life threatening public safety risks; and

WHEREAS, Much of the oil contained in the remaining 36 federal oil leases that are slated for development off California's coast is of very poor quality and would only be suitable for the manufacture of asphalt; and

WHEREAS, The bipartisan congressional moratorium on leasing the OCS was reaffirmed in the bill providing appropriations for the Department of Interior for fiscal year 2001, and the leasing deferral enacted by President George Bush in 1990, and continued by President Bill Clinton last year, is still in effect; now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate and Assembly of the State of California, jointly, That the Legislature of the State of California respectfully memorializes the Congress of the United States to continue the moratorium on oil leasing in the 2002 fiscal year, and to terminate the 36 federal oil leases remaining off the coast of California, on the basis that the impacts of developing those leases are not acceptable moratorium on new oil leasing off the coast of California; and be it further

Resolved, That the Legislature of the State of California respectfully memorializes the President and the Congress of the United States to negotiate a permanent settlement with the leaseholders of the 36 federal oil leases remaining off the coast of California, which would prohibit the development of the leases on the basis that the impacts of developing those leases are not acceptable; and be it further

Resolved, That the Secretary of the Senate transmit copies of this resolution to the President and Vice President of the United States, to the United States Secretary of the Interior, to the Speaker of the United States House of Representatives, and to each Senator and Representative from California in the Congress of the United States.